

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/573,174	03/23/2006	Rie Kojima	2006-0374 A	6653	
52349 WENDEROTE	7590 03/23/2009	EXAMINER			
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK L.L.P. 1030 15th Street, N.W. Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503			MULVANEY, ELIZABETH EVANS		
			ART UNIT	. PAPER NUMBER	
,, aomingien, D	0 20000 1000		1794		
			·		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		03/23/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)				
		10	/573,174	KOJIMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			aminer	Art Unit				
			zabeth E. Mulvaney	1794				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears	on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE 7 CFR 1.136(a). cation. bry period will app by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUNIC In no event, however, may a reply and will expire SIX (6) MON the the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	,			•				
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on .						
,	•		on is non-final.					
, —	•		allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.							
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			•				
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•	•		•			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.	•					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepte	d or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	•			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	-948)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/573,174

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,416,837.

The reference discloses a phase-change recording medium where the recording layer is formed of a Ge-Bi-Te material having at least one of Sn, Al or In added thereto. See col. 15, lines 57-59. The medium may be a single or double sided medium. See Figures 2 and 3. The medium includes dielectric layers on either side of the recording layer, compensation layer and a reflective layer in the thickness ranges claimed. See Example 9 and Table 6. The medium is recorded/read with a wavelength in the claimed range (415 and 660nm). See Examples 15 and 22. It is recognized that the reference does not specify the ratios of the materials in the recording layer as claimed. However, as the same materials are disclosed, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to vary the amounts of the elements in the interest of optimizing the optical and physical properties of the layer.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined

Art Unit: 1794

application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-32 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 38 of U.S. Patent No. 6,416,837. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they cover the same recording medium comprising a phase-change recording material of GeBiTe with Sn, Al and/or In added thereto. It is recognized that atomic percentages of the phase-change material is not specified. However, it would have been obvious to vary the amounts of the elements in the layer in the interest of optimization.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth E. Mulvaney whose telephone number is 571-272-1527. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/573,174

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Elizabeth E. Mulvaney/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794